Appl. No. 10/072,360 Amdt. dated June 17, 2003 Reply to Office action of June 2, 2003

## Remarks/Arguments

PECEIVED TO 1700 The Examiner has drawn a restriction between claims 1-28 and 29. The applicant, by an through its undersigned attorney, hereby elects claims 1-28 with traverse. The Examiner has posited that the product as claimed in claim 29 can be made by another materially different process, such as selective deposition. The applicant respectfully disagrees. While other known methods may be capable of forming three-dimensional microstructures, the microstructures formed by such methods will inherently be qualitatively different that those formed by the present method. Selective deposition, in particular, is incapable of forming the overhanging structures and closed structures enabled by the present invention.

Applicant has made an earnest attempt to place the above referenced application in condition for allowance and action toward that end is respectfully requested. Should the Examiner have any further observations or comments, she is invited to contact the undersigned for resolution.

Respectfully submitted,

Douglas E. McKinley, Jr. Reg. No. 40,280

PO Box 202 Richland, WA 99352 Voice (509) 628-0809 Fax (509) 628-2307

The undersigned hereby certifies that the forgoing Amendment dated June 17, 2003 in reply to the office action of June 2, 2003 are being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail, postage prepaid, in an envelope addressed to

Mail Stop Non-Fee Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

on the date set forth below.

Douglas E. McKaley, Jr.

Reg. No (40,28)

June 17, 2003